## PHYSICS MARKING SCHEME

Q.NO.	Expected Answer/Value Points	Marks	Total Marks
1	Electron (No explanation need to be given. If a student only writes the formula for frequency of charged particle (or $v_c$ $\alpha \frac{q}{m}$ ) award ½ mark)	1	1
2	(a) Ultra violet rays	1/2	
4	(b) Ultra violet rays / Laser	1/2	1
3	Photoelectric current I 1	1/2	
	Applied voltage $\longrightarrow$ The graph $I_2$ corresponds to radiation of higher intensity [Note: Deduct this ½ mark if the student does not show the two graphs starting from the same point.]  (Also accept if the student just puts some indicative marks, or words, (like tick, cross, higher intensity) on the graph itself.	1/2	1
4	Daughter nucleus	1	1
5	Sky wave propagation	1	1
	(SECTION – B)		
6	Formula Stating that currents are equal Ratio of powers  1/2 mark 1/2 mark 1 mark		
	Power = $I^2R$ The current, in the two bulbs, is the same as they are connected in series.	1/ <sub>2</sub> 1/ <sub>2</sub>	
		1/2	2
7	Writing the equation 1 mark		
	Writing the equation 1 mark Finding the current 1 mark		
	By Kirchoff's law, we have, for the loop ABCD, +200 – $38i$ – $10 = 0$	1	

Page **1** of **20** 

			;	55/1
			1	2
	A D D C S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S			
		1 mark		
	Finding the Net emf Stating that $I = \frac{V}{R}$	½ mark		
	Calculating I	½ mark		
	Culculating 1	/2 mark		
	The two cells being in 'opposition',  :net $\operatorname{emf} = (200 - 10) V = 190 V$ Now $I = \frac{V}{R}$ : $I = \frac{190 \text{ V}}{38 \Omega} = 5 \text{ A}$ [Note: Some students may use the formulae $\frac{\varepsilon}{r} = \frac{(r_1 r_2)}{(r_1 + r_2)}$ For two cells connected in parallel  They may then say that $r = 0$ ; $\varepsilon$ is indeterminate and hence  I is also indeterminate  Award full marks(2) to students giving this line of OR	f reasoning.]	1 1/2 1/2	2
	Stating the formula Calculating <i>r</i>	1mark 1mark		
	We have $r = \left(\frac{l_1}{l_2} - 1\right)R = \left(\frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_2}\right)R$ $\therefore r = \left(\frac{350 - 300}{300}\right) \times 9\Omega$	THEIR	1	
	$ = \frac{50}{300} \times 9\Omega = 1.5\Omega $		1/ <sub>2</sub> 1/ <sub>2</sub>	2
8	$=\frac{300}{300} \times 9\Omega = 1.5\Omega$		72	<u> </u>
o	<ul><li>a) Reason for calling IF rays as heat rays</li><li>b) Explanation for transport of momentum</li></ul>	1 mark 1 mark		
	a) Infrared rays are readily absorbed by the (wat most of the substances and hence increases th (If the student just writes that "infrared ray produ award ½ mark only)	eir thermal motion.	1	

			55/1
	D) Electromagnetic waves can set (and sustain) charges in motion. Hence, they are said to transport momentum.  Also accept the following: Electromagnetic waves are known to exert radiation pressure'. This pressure is due to the force associated with rate of change of momentum. Hence, EM waves transport momentum)	1	2
9	Calculating the energy of the incident photon  I mark  Identifying the metals  Reason  1 mark  1/2 mark  1/2 mark		
	The energy of a photon of incident radiation is given by $E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$ $6.63 \times 10^{-34} \times 3 \times 10^{8}$	1/2	
	$E = \frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \times 3 \times 10^8}{(412.5 \times 10^{-9}) \times (1.6 \times 10^{-19})} \text{ eV}$ $\cong 3.01 \text{ eV}$ Hence, only Na and K will show photoelectric emission	1/2	
	[Note: Award this ½ mark even if the student writes the name of only one of these metals]  Reason: The energy of the incident photon is more than the work	1/2	2
	function of only these two metals.	1/2	2
	Formula for modulation index 1 mark Finding the peak value of the modulating signal 1 mark		
	We have $u = \frac{A_m}{A_c}$	1	
I	Here $\mu = 60\% = \frac{3}{5}$ $A_m = \mu A_c = \frac{3}{5} \times 15V$	1/2	
	= 9V	1/2	2
11	Section C		
	<ul> <li>a) Finding the resultant force on a charge Q</li> <li>b) Potential Energy of the system</li> <li>1 mark</li> </ul>		
	a) Let us find the force on the charge $Q$ at the point $C$ Force due to the other charge $Q$ $F_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{(a\sqrt{2})^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{Q^2}{2a^2}\right) \text{ (along AC)}$	1/2	
I	Force due to the charge $q$ (at B), $F_2$ $= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{a^2} \text{ along BC}$ Force due to the charge $q$ (at D), $F_3$		
=	$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{a^2} \text{ along DC}$	1/2	

Page **3** of **20** 

**12**<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 3:00p.m. Final Draft

		55/1
Resultant of these two equal forces		
$F_{23} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{qQ(\sqrt{2})}{a^2} \text{ (along AC)}$	1/2	
$\therefore$ Net force on charge $Q$ ( at point C)		
$F = F_1 + F_{23} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q}{a^2} \left[ \frac{Q}{2} + \sqrt{2}q \right]$	1/2	
This force is directed along AC		
( For the charge $Q$ , at the point A, the force will have the same		
magnitude but will be directed along CA)  [Note: Den't deduct morks if the student does not write the direction		
[Note: Don't deduct marks if the student does not write the direction of the net force, $F$ ]		
b) Potential energy of the system		
$1  [qQ  q^2  Q^2]$		
$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ 4\frac{qQ}{a} + \frac{q^2}{a\sqrt{2}} + \frac{Q^2}{a\sqrt{2}} \right]$	1/2	
$=\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a}\left[4qQ+\frac{q^2}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{Q^2}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$	1/2	3
$-4\pi\epsilon_0 a \left[ 4qQ + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2} \right]$	/2	3
OR		
a) Finding the magnitude of the resultant force on charge $q$ 2 marks		
b) Finding the work done 1 mark		
a) Force on charge $q$ due to the charge -		
4q		
$F_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{4q^2}{l^2}\right)$ , along AB	1/2	
Force on the charge $q$ , due to the charge		
$\begin{bmatrix} 2q \\ F \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & (2q^2) \\ 2q \end{bmatrix}$ along $CA$		
$F_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{2q^2}{l^2}\right)$ , along CA		
The forces $F_1$ and $F_2$ are inclined to each other at an angle of $120^\circ$		
other at an angle of 120		
Hence, resultant electric force on charge $q$		
$F = \sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + 2F_1 F_2 cos\theta}$	1/2	
$= \sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + 2F_1F_2\cos 120^0}$		
$= \sqrt{F_1^2 + F_2^2 - F_1 F_2}$	1/2	
$= \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{l^2}\right) \sqrt{16+4-8}$		
$=\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{3}q^2}{l^2}\right)$	1/2	
(b) Net P.E. of the system		
(-)		
	1	l

Page **4** of **20** 

			55/1
	$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \cdot \frac{q^2}{l} [-4 + 2 - 8]$ $= \frac{(-10)}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{l}$ $\therefore \text{ Work done} = \frac{10}{4\pi\epsilon_0 l} = \frac{5q^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 l}$	1/2	3
12	<ul> <li>a) Definition and SI unit of conductivity 1/2 + 1/2 marks</li> <li>b) Derivation of the expression for conductivity 1 1/2 marks</li> <li>Relation between current density and electric field 1/2 mark</li> </ul>		
	a) The conductivity of a material equals the reciprocal of the resistance of its wire of unit length and unit area of cross section. [Alternatively:  The conductivity $(\sigma)$ of a material is the reciprocal of its resistivity $(\rho)$ ]  (Also accept $\sigma = \frac{1}{\rho}$ )	1/2	
	Its SI unit is $\left(\frac{1}{ohm-metre}\right)/ohm^{-1}m^{-1}/(mho \text{ m}^{-1})/\text{siemen m}^{-1}$	1/2	
	b) The acceleration, $\vec{a} = -\frac{e}{m}\vec{E}$ The average drift velocity, $v_d$ , is given by	1/2	
	$v_d = -\frac{eE}{m}\tau$ ( $\tau$ = average time between collisions/ relaxation time)  If $n$ is the number of free electrons per unit volume, the current $I$ is given by $I = neA v_d $ $= \frac{e^2A}{m}\tau n E $ But $I =  j A$ (j= current density)  We, therefore, get $ j  = \frac{ne^2}{m}\tau  E $ , The term $\frac{ne^2}{m}\tau$ is conductivity. $\therefore \sigma = \frac{ne^2\tau}{m}$	1/2	
13	$\Rightarrow J = \sigma E$ a) Formula and	1/2	3
	Calculation of work done in the two cases (1+1) marks b) Calculation of torque in case (ii) 1 mark		
	(a) Work done = $mB(\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2)$ (i) $\theta_1 = 60^0$ , $\theta_2 = 90^0$ $\therefore$ work done = $mB(\cos 60^0 - \cos 90^0)$ = $mB(\frac{1}{2} - 0) = \frac{1}{2}mB$	1/2	

			55/1
	$=\frac{1}{2} \times 6 \times 0.44 \text{ J} = 1.32 \text{J}$	1/2	
	(ii) $\theta_1 = 60^0$ , $\theta_2 = 180^0$ :work done = $mB(\cos 60^0 - \cos 180^0)$	1/2	
	$= mB(\cos 00 - \cos 100)$ $= mB(\frac{1}{2} - (-1)) = \frac{3}{2} mB$		
	$= \frac{3}{2} \times 6 \times 0.44 \text{ J} = 3.96 \text{J}$	1/2	
	[Also accept calculations done through changes in potential energy.]		
	(b)		
	Torque = $ \vec{m} \times \vec{B}  = mB \sin \theta$	1/2	
	For $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ , we have Torque = $6 \times 0.44 \sin 180^{\circ} = 0$	1/2	
	[If the student straight away writes that the torque is zero since	72	
	magnetic moment and magnetic field are anti parallel in this orientation, award full 1mark]		3
14			
ı	a) Expression for Ampere's circuital law  1/2 mark  Derivation of magnetic field inside the ring  1 mark		
	b) Identification of the material ½ mark		
	Drawing the modification of the field pattern 1 mark		
	a) From Ampere's circuital law, we have,		
	$\oint \overrightarrow{B} \cdot d\overrightarrow{l} = \mu_o \mu_r I_{enclosed} $ (i)	1/2	
	For the field inside the ring, we can write		
	$\oint \overrightarrow{B} \cdot d\overrightarrow{l} = \oint Bdl = B \cdot 2\pi r$		
	(r = radius of the ring)		
	Also, $I_{enclosed} = (2\pi rn)I$ using equation (i)	1/2	
	$\therefore B. 2\pi r = \mu_0 \mu_r. (n. 2\pi r)I$ $\therefore B = \mu_0 \mu_r nI$	1/2	
	[Award these $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})$ marks even if the result is written without giving		
	the derivation]		
	b) The material is paramagnetic.	1/2	
l	The field pattern gets modified as shown in the figure below.		
	*		
		1	3
15	a) Diagram ½ mark		
	Polarisation by reflection 1 mark		
	b) Justification 1 mark		
	Writing yes/no ½ mark		
	a) The diagram, showing polarisation by reflection is as shown.		
	[Here the reflected and refracted rays are at right angle to each		
	other.]		

Page **6** of **20** 

		,	55/1
	Incident Reflected		
	$i_B^{AIR}$		
	Pefracted MEDIUM	1/2	
	$\therefore r = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - i_B\right)$ $\therefore \mu = \left(\frac{\sin i_B}{\sin r} = \tan i_B\right)$	1/2	
	<ul> <li>Thus light gets totally polarised by reflection when it is incident at an angle i<sub>B</sub> (Brewster's angle), where i<sub>B</sub> = tan<sup>-1</sup> μ</li> <li>b) The angle of incidence, of the ray, on striking the face AC is</li> </ul>	1/2	
	i= 60 <sup>0</sup> (as from figure) Also, relative refractive index of glass, with respect to the surrounding water, is		
	$\mu_r = \frac{3/2}{4/3} = \frac{9}{8}$ Also $\sin i = \sin 60^0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} = \frac{1.732}{2}$ $= 0.866$ For total internal reflection, the required critical angle, in this case,	1/2	
	is given by $\sin i_c = \frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{8}{9} \approx 0.89$ $\therefore i < i_c$	1/2	
	Hence the ray would not suffer total internal reflection on striking the face AC  [The student may just write the two conditions needed for total internal reflection without analysis of the given case.	1/2	
	The student may be awarded $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2})$ mark in such a case.]		3
16	a) Finding the (modified) ratio of the maximum 2 marks and minimum intensities b) Fringes obtained with white light 1 mark		
	a) After the introduction of the glass sheet (say, on the second slit), we have $\frac{I_2}{I_1} = 50 \% = \frac{1}{2}$		
	∴ Ratio of the amplitudes		
	$= \frac{a_2}{a_1} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	1/2	
	il.		


Page **7** of **20** 

Hence $\frac{I_{main}}{I_{min}} = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{a_1 - a_2}\right)^2$ $= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}\right)^2$ $= \left(\frac{3 + 1}{\sqrt{2} - 1}\right)^2$ $(\approx 34)$ b) The central fringe remains white. No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe. [Note: For part (a) of this question, The student may (i) Just faw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift. For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses' ½ mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_i$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length. With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'. We have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{f_1} = \frac{1}{f_2}$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$ or $\mu_1 = \left(\frac{2x - y}{x}\right)$ or $\mu_1 = \left(\frac{2x - y}{x}\right)$				55/1
( $\approx$ 34) b) The central fringe remains white. No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe. [Note : For part (a) of this question, The student may (i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula			1/2	
( $\approx$ 34) b) The central fringe remains white. No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe. [Note : For part (a) of this question, The student may (i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula		$= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}\right)^2$	1/2	
b) The central fringe remains white.  No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe.  [Note : For part (a) of this question, The student may  (i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment.  Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula  Formula for 'combination of lenses'  18  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as sper the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\frac{1}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{(2x-y)}{xy}$		$= \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{\sqrt{2}-1}\right)^2$		
b) The central fringe remains white.  No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe.  [Note: For part (a) of this question, The student may (i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses' ½ mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\frac{\mu_2}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow y = \frac{(2x - y)}{(2x - y)}$		(24)	1/2	
No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe. [Note: For part (a) of this question, The student may (i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift. For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses'  1/2 mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{k_1} - \frac{1}{k_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = -\frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$				
(i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula  Formula for 'combination of lenses'  1/2 mark  Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1)(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2})$ and $\frac{1}{f} = (\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2})$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)})$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1)(-\frac{1}{R}) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$ $\Rightarrow y = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$		No clear fringe pattern is seen after a few (coloured) fringes on either side of the central fringe.	1	
(i) Just draw the diagram for the Young's double slit experiment. Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula  Formula for 'combination of lenses'  1/2 mark  Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1)(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2})$ and $\frac{1}{f} = (\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2})$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)})$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1)(-\frac{1}{R}) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$ $\Rightarrow y = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$		The student may		
introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the central fringe would shift. For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses'  V2 mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{(2x - y)}{y}$		I		
central fringe would shift.  For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses' Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left( \frac{2x - y}{xy} \right)$ $\Rightarrow \mu = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$		Or (ii) Just state that the introduction of the glass sheet would		
For all such answers, the student may be awarded the full (2) marks for this part of this question.]  17  Lens maker's formula		introduce an additional phase difference and the position of the		
for this part of this question.]  Lens maker's formula		central fringe would shift.		
17 Lens maker's formula $\frac{1}{2}$ mark Formula for 'combination of lenses' $\frac{1}{2}$ mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ was per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$				2
Lens maker's formula Formula for 'combination of lenses'  Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1)\left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$		for this part of this question.]		3
Formula for 'combination of lenses' $\frac{1}{2}$ mark Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2} \right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left( \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} \right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left( \frac{2x - y}{xy} \right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{(2x - y)}{x}$	17	Lens maker's formula ½ mark		
Obtaining the expression for $\mu$ 2 marks  Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ 4/2  and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ 4/2  as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{xy}$				
Let $\mu_l$ denote the refractive index of the liquid. When the image of the needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1)\left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1)\left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{(2x - y)}{xy}$				
needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a combination of the given (convex) lens and a concavo plane / plano concave 'liquid lens'.  We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{(2x - y)}{y}$				
We have $\frac{1}{f} = (\mu - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$ as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $cr y = \frac{(2x - y)}{y}$		needle coincides with the lens itself; its distance from the lens, equals the relevant focal length.  With liquid layer present, the given set up, is equivalent to a	1/2	
		<u> </u>		
as per the given data, we then have $\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{xy}$		) (11 1/2)	1/2	
$\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left( \frac{2x - y}{xy} \right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{y}$		and $\frac{1}{f} = \left(\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2}\right)$	1/2	
$\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{y} = (1.5 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)} \right)$ $= \frac{1}{R}$ $\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$ $\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left( \frac{2x - y}{xy} \right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{xy}$		as per the given data, we then have	1/	
$ \frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{R} $ $ \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1)\left(-\frac{1}{R}\right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y} $ $ \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right) $ $ \frac{2x - y}{y} = \frac{(2x - y)}{y} $		$\frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{1}{v} = (1.5 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{(-R)} \right)$	1/2	
$\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{xy}$				
$\therefore \frac{\mu_l}{y} = \frac{2}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \left(\frac{2x - y}{xy}\right)$ $\Rightarrow x = \frac{2x - y}{xy}$				
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2x-y}{x}\right)^{-x}$		$\therefore \frac{1}{x} = (\mu_l - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{R} \right) + \frac{1}{y} = \frac{-\mu_l}{y} + \frac{2}{y}$	1/2	
$or \mu_l = \left(\frac{2x}{x}\right)$				
		$\int or \mu_l = \left(\frac{2x}{x}\right)$	1/2	3

a) Statement of Bohr's postulate				55/1
b) Finding the energy in the $n = 4$ level 1 mark Estimating the frequency of the photon $\frac{1}{2}$ mark  a) Bohr's postulate, for stable orbits, states "The electron, in an atom, revolves around the nucleus only in those orbits for which its angular momentum is an integral multiple of $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $h = \text{Planck's constant}$ ),"  [Also accept $mvr = n \cdot \frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ )  As per de Broglie's hypothesis $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$ For a stable orbit, we must have circumference of the orbit= $n\lambda$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ ) $\therefore 2\pi r = n \cdot mv$ or $mvr = \frac{nh}{2\pi}$ Thus de -Broglie showed that formation of stationary pattern for intergral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level = $\frac{-E_0}{4^2} = -\frac{E_0}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level = $\left(-\frac{E_0}{16}\right) - \left(-E_0\right)$	18	'		
Estimating the frequency of the photon  1/2 mark  a) Bohr's postulate, for stable orbits, states "The electron, in an atom, revolves around the nucleus only in those orbits for which its angular momentum is an integral multiple of $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $h = \text{Planck's constant}$ ),"  [Also accept $mvr = n \cdot \frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ )  As per de Broglie's hypothesis $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$ For a stable orbit, we must have circumference of the orbit $= n\lambda$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ ) $\therefore 2\pi r = n \cdot mv$ or $mvr = \frac{nh}{2\pi}$ Thus de $-\text{Broglie}$ showed that formation of stationary pattern for integral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level $= \frac{-E_0}{4^2} = -\frac{E_0}{16}$ $\therefore \text{Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the } n = 4$ level $= (-\frac{E_0}{16}) - (-E_0)$				
"The electron, in an atom, revolves around the nucleus only in those orbits for which its angular momentum is an integral multiple of $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $h = \text{Planck}$ 's constant),"  [Also accept $mvr = n. \frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ )  As per de Broglie's hypothesis $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$ For a stable orbit, we must have circumference of the orbit= $n\lambda$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ ) $ \therefore 2\pi r = n.mv$ or $mvr = \frac{nh}{2\pi}$ Thus de —Broglie showed that formation of stationary pattern for integral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level = $\frac{-E_0}{4^2} = -\frac{E_0}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level = $\left(-\frac{E_0}{16}\right) - \left(-E_0\right)$				
As per de Broglie's hypothesis $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$ For a stable orbit, we must have circumference of the orbit= $n\lambda$ $(n = 1,2,3,)$ $\therefore 2\pi r = n.mv$ or $mvr = \frac{nh}{2\pi}$ Thus de –Broglie showed that formation of stationary pattern for intergral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level = $\frac{-E_0}{4^2} = -\frac{E_0}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level = $\left(-\frac{E_0}{16}\right) - \left(-E_0\right)$		"The electron, in an atom, revolves around the nucleus only in those orbits for which its angular momentum is an integral multiple of $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ ( $h$ = Planck's constant),"	1/2	
Thus de –Broglie showed that formation of stationary pattern for intergral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level = $\frac{-E_o}{4^2} = -\frac{E_o}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level = $\left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$		As per de Broglie's hypothesis $\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{mv}$ For a stable orbit, we must have circumference of the orbit= $n\lambda$ ( $n = 1,2,3,$ )		
Thus de –Broglie showed that formation of stationary pattern for intergral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level = $\frac{-E_o}{4^2} = -\frac{E_o}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level = $\left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$		or $mvr = \frac{nh}{mv}$	1/2	
intergral 'n' gives rise to stability of the atom.  This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate  b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level $= \frac{-E_o}{4^2} = -\frac{E_o}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level $= \left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$			/- 	
b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level $= \frac{-E_o}{4^2} = -\frac{E_o}{16}$ $\therefore$ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4$ level $= \left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$			1/2	
∴ Energy required to take the electron from the ground state, to the $n = 4 \text{ level} = \left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$		This is nothing but the Bohr's postulate		
$n = 4 \text{ level} = \left(-\frac{E_o}{16}\right) - \left(-E_o\right)$		b) Energy in the $n = 4$ level $= \frac{-L_0}{4^2} = -\frac{L_0}{16}$	1/2	
$=\frac{1110}{100}$				
$=\frac{15}{16}E_o$		$=\frac{15}{16}E_0$		
$= \frac{15}{16} \times 13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} $ \tau_2		$=\frac{15}{16} \times 13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ J	1/2	
Let the frequency of the photon be <i>v</i> , we have		Let the frequency of the photon be $v$ , we have		
$hv = \frac{15}{16} \times 13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$		$hv = \frac{15}{16} \times 13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$		
		$v = \frac{15 \times 13.6 \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$		
$ \begin{array}{c c} 16 \times 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \\                                    $		$16 \times 6.63 \times 10^{-34}$ $\approx 3.1 \times 10^{15} \text{Hz}$		
(Also accept $3 \times 10^{15}$ Hz) $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{3}$		(Also accept $3 \times 10^{15}$ Hz)	1/2	3
a) Drawing the plot 1 mark	19	a) Drawing the plot 1 mark		
Explaining the process of				
Nuclear fission and Nuclear fusion $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ marks				
b) Finding the required time 1 mark		b) Finding the required time 1 mark		
a) The plot of (B.E / nucleon) verses mass number is as shown.		a) The plot of (B.E / nucleon) verses mass number is as shown.		

Page **9** of **20** 

	33/1
1	
1/2	
1/2	
1/2	2
1/2	3
	1/2



Page 11 of 20

Page 12 of 20

55/1

			55/1
	b) The required graphical representation is as shown below		
	$c(t)$ $0$ $0.5$ $1$ $1.5$ $2$ $2.5$ $3$ $m(t)$ $0$ $0.5$ $1$ $1.5$ $2$ $2.5$ $3$ $c_m(t)$ for AM $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	1/2	
	-20 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3	1/2	3
	SECTION D		
23	a) Name of device  One cause for power dissipation  Wark  Discrete transmission 1 mark  Discrete		
	a) Transformer Cause of power dissipation i) Joule heating in the windings. ii) Leakage of magnetic flux between the coils. iii) Production of eddy currents in the core. iv) Energy loss due to hysteresis.	1/2	
	<ul> <li>[Any one / any other correct reason of power loss]</li> <li>b) ac voltage can be stepped up to high value, which reduces the current in the line during transmission, hence the power loss(I<sup>2</sup>R)</li> </ul>	1/2	
	is reduced considerably while such stepping up is not possible for direct current.  [Also accept if the student explains this through a relevant example.]  c) Teacher: Concerned, caring, ready to share knowledge.  Geeta: Inquisitive, scientific temper, Good listener, keen learner (any other two values for the teacher and Geeta)  SECTION E	1 1/2+ 1/2 1/2+ 1/2	4
24			
	a) Definition of electric flux 1 mark Stating scalar/ vector ½ mark Gauss's Theorem ½ mark Derivation of the expression for electric flux 1 marks b) Explanation of change in electric flux 2 marks		
	a) Electric flux through a given surface is defined as the dot product of electric field and area vector over that surface.  Alternatively $\phi = \int_{S} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{dS}$	1	
	Also accept Electric flux, through a surface equals the surface integral of the		

Page **13** of **20** 

		55/1
electric field over that surface.		
It is a scalar quantity	1/2	
$q \cdot d$	1/2	
Constructing a cube of side 'd' so that charge 'q' gets placed within of this cube (Gaussian surface )		
According to Gauss 's law the Electric flux $\emptyset = \frac{Charge\ enclosed}{\varepsilon_0}$ $= \frac{q}{\varepsilon_0}$	1/2	
This is the total flux through all the six faces of the cube. Hence electric flux through the square $\frac{1}{6} \times \frac{q}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{q}{6\epsilon_0}$	1/2	
<ul> <li>b) If the charge is moved to a distance d and the side of the square is doubled the cube will be constructed to have a side 2d but the total charge enclosed in it will remain the same. Hence the total flux through the cube and therefore the flux through the square will remain the same as before.</li> <li>[Deduct 1 mark if the student just writes No change /not affected without giving any explanation.]</li> </ul>	1+1	5
OR		
a) Derivation of the expression for electric field $\vec{E}$ 3 marks b) Graph to show the required variation of the 1 mark		
electric field c) Calculation of work done 1 mark		
a)		
P	1/2	

Page **14** of **20** 

		55/1
To calculate the electric field, imagine a cylindrical Gaussian surface,		
since the field is everywhere radial, flux through two ends of the		
cylindrical Gaussian surface is zero.	1/2	
At cylindrical part of the surface electric field $\vec{E}$ is normal to the		
surface at every point and its magnitude is constant.		
Therefore flux through the Gaussian surface.		
= Flux through the curved cylindrical part of the surface.	1/	
$= E \times 2\pi r l \qquad(i)$	1/2	
Applying Gauss's Law		
$Flux \phi = \frac{q_{enclosed}}{\varepsilon_O}$		
Total charge enclosed		
= Linear charge density $\times l$		
$=\lambda l$		
$\therefore \phi = \frac{\lambda L}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad(ii)$	1/2	
	, –	
Using Equations (i) & ii		
$E \times 2 \pi rl = \frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon_0}$		
$E \times 2 \pi rl = \frac{\lambda l}{\varepsilon_o}$ $\Rightarrow \qquad E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi \varepsilon_o r}$	1/2	
$2\pi\varepsilon_0 r$ In vector notation		
	1/2	
$\overrightarrow{E} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \ \widehat{n}$		
(where $\hat{n}$ is a unit vector normal to the line charge)		
b) The required graph is as shown:		
$ \vec{E} $		
	1	
	_	
<b>─</b>		
r		
a) Work done in moving the charge $q$ . Through a small		
displacement 'dr'		
$dW = \overrightarrow{F} \cdot \overrightarrow{dr}$		
$dW = q\overrightarrow{E}.\overrightarrow{dr}$		
= qEdrcos0		
$dW = a \times \frac{\lambda}{dr} dr$	1/	
$dW = q \times \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r} dr$	1/2	
Work done in moving the given charge from $r_1$ to $r_2(r_2 > r_1)$		
$r_2$ $r_2$ $r_2$ $r_3$ $r_4$ $r_4$ $r_5$		
$W = \int dW = \int \frac{\lambda q w}{2\pi c r}$		
$\begin{bmatrix} J & J & J & L R \epsilon_0 I \\ r_1 & & r_1 \end{bmatrix}$		
$W = \int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} dW \int\limits_{r_1} = \int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\lambda q dr}{2\pi \varepsilon_o r}$ $W = \frac{\lambda q}{2\pi \varepsilon_o} [log_e r_2 - log_e r_1]$		
	1/2	

		5	55/1
	$W = \frac{\lambda q}{2\pi\varepsilon_o} \left[ log_e \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right]$		5
25	a) Principle of ac generator  working  Labeled diagram  Derivation of the expression for induced emf  b) Calculation of potential difference  1/2 mark  1 mark  1 ½ mark  1 ½ mark		
	<ul> <li>a) The AC Generator works on the principle of electromagnetic induction.</li> <li>when the magnetic flux through a coil changes, an emf is induced in it.</li> <li>As the coil rotates in magnetic field the effective area of the loop, (i.e. A cos θ) exposed to the magnetic field keeps on changing,</li> </ul>	1/2	
	hence magnetic flux changes and an emf is induced.	1/2	
	N Slip rings Alternating emf	1	
	When a coil is rotated with a constant angular speed ' $\omega$ ', the angle ' $\theta$ ' between the magnetic field vector $\vec{B}$ and the area vector $\vec{A}$ , of the coil at any instant 't' equals $\omega$ t; (assuming $\theta = 0^0$ at t=0) As a result, the effective area of the coil exposed to the magnetic field changes with time; The flux at any instant 't' is given by	1/2	
	$φ_B = NBA cos θ = NBA cos ωt$ ∴ The induced emf $e = -N \frac{dφ}{dt}$	1/2	
	$= -NBA \frac{d\phi}{dt} (\cos \omega t)$ $e = NBA\omega \sin \omega t$	1/2	
	b) Potential difference developed between the ends of the wings $e' = Blv$	1/2	
	Given Velocity $v$ = 900km/hour = 250m/s		

Page **16** of **20** 

		55/1
Wing span $(l) = 20 \text{ m}$		
Vertical component of Earth's magnetic field		
$B_V = B_H \tan \delta$ $= 5 \times 10^{-4} (\tan 20^{\circ}) \tan \theta$	1/2	
$= 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (tan } 30^{\circ} \text{) tesla}$	72	
∴ Potential difference		
$= 5 \times 10^{-4}  (\tan 30^{\circ}) \times 20 \times 250$		
5 > 20 > 250 > 10 - 4		
$=\frac{3\times20\times230\times10}{\sqrt{3}}V$		
= 1.44 volt	1/2	5
Or		
a) Identification of the device X ½		
Expression for reactance ½		
b) Graphs of voltage and current with time 1+1		
c) Variation of reactance with frequency ½		
(Graphical variation) ½		
d) Phasor Diagram 1		
a) X : capacitor		
Reactance $X_c = \frac{1}{\omega C} = \frac{1}{2\pi vC}$	1/2	
Reactance $A_c = \frac{1}{\omega C} = \frac{1}{2\pi vC}$	1/2	
b)		
<b>φ</b> υ		
$0$ $\omega t_1$ $\pi$ $2\pi$ $\omega t$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	
c) Reactance of the capacitor varies in inverse proportion to the		
frequency i.e., $X_c \propto \frac{1}{n}$	1	
v		
$X_{\mathbf{c}}$	1	
$\overline{}$		

Page **17** of **20** 

Page 18 of 20

		55/1
u _ f		
$\frac{u}{v} = \frac{f}{v - f}$		
uv –uf =vf		
Dividing each term by uvf, we get		
$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$		
$f  v \stackrel{-}{=} u$		
$\frac{1}{f} - \frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{u}$ $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{f} = \frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{u}$	1/2	
$f = v \cdot u$	72	
Linear magnification = $-\frac{v}{u}$ , (alternatively m = $\frac{h_i}{h_o}$ )	1/	
0	1/2	
<ul><li>c) Advantages of reflecting telescope over refracting telescope</li><li>(i) Mechanical support is easier</li></ul>		
(ii) Magnifying power is large		
(iii) Resolving power is large	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	
(iv) Spherical aberration is reduced	/2 1 /2	
(v) Free from chromatic aberration		
(any two)		5
OR		
(a) Definition of wave front ½ mark		
Verification of laws of reflection 2 marks		
(b) Explanation of the effect on the size and intensity of		
central maxima 1+ 1 marks		
(c) Explanation of the bright spot in the shadow of the obstacle		
1/2 mark		
/2 mark		
(a) The wave front may be defined as a surface of constant phase.	1/2	
(Alternatively: The wave front is the locii of all points that are in the		
same phase)		
Incident		
wavefront		
Reflected Reflected	1	
wavefront	1	
$M \xrightarrow{A \qquad i} r \qquad C \qquad N$		
Let speed of the wave in the medium be $v'$		
Let the time taken by the wave front, to advance from point B to point		
C is ' $\tau$ ' Hence BC = $v \tau$	4.7	
Let CE represent the reflected wave front	1/2	
Distance AE = $v \tau = BC$		
$\triangle$ AEC and $\triangle$ ABC are congruent		
$\therefore \angle BAC = \angle ECA$		
<u> </u>		

Page **19** of **20** 

	l	l
$\Rightarrow \angle i = \angle r$	1/2	
(b) Size of central maxima reduces to half,	1/2	
(: Size of central maxima = $\frac{2\lambda D}{a}$ )	1/2	
Intensity increases.	1/2	
This is because the amount of light, entering the slit, has increased and	1/2	
the area, over which it falls, decreases.		
(Also accept if the student just writes that the intensity becomes four		
fold)		
(c) This is because of diffraction of light.	1/2	
[Alternatively:		
Light gets diffracted by the tiny circular obstacle and reaches the		
centre of the shadow of the obstacle.]		
[Alternatively:		
There is a maxima, at the centre of the obstacle, in the diffraction		
pattern produced by it.]		5